

**From:** Dana Reedy  
**To:** TASC; TAWG  
**Date:** 5/22/2007 7:14:18 PM  
**Subject:** Fwd: READ REQUESTED: Voluntary Regional Transmission Adequacy Guidelines Update

>>> "Aggarwal,Ravi K - TSP-TPP-2" <rkaggarwal@bpa.gov> 05/22/07 1:19 PM >>>

-----  
Dear TASC and TAWG Members

This is a long overdue email from me following the official email vote on the NWPP Voluntary Transmission Adequacy Guidelines.

First, I would like to extend my sincere gratitude and appreciation to everyone for their hardwork and patience through this long process. Your final review and feedback, and final ballots to Dana Reedy by the closing time, was institutional in getting the three motions to pass (as reflected in Dana's email dated Mar. 5, 2007). This is a big success for the group but additional work remains to be done in the future as reflected by the number of No votes and the comments received with the Yes/No votes.

At the next TPC meeting (June 5) Transmission Adequacy is up for discussion and there are three topics under consideration - 1) Overview of Ver. 0 guidelines, 2) Approve Ver. 0 guidelines (TPC), and 3) Status of group for future. Following would be my proposed response on the three items (Open to change):

1. Overview: TPC had tasked the TASC to develop these guidelines, and I believe the development and approval of Ver. 0 guidelines by the TASC meets the requirements. However, I also want to emphasize that this is the first step in the development process and future works remains to be completed, as indicated in the next steps section of the Ver. 0 document and comments received during the approval process. My proposal to TPC would be to continue the development process. It does not matter where the process resides (NWPP, ColumbiaGrid, or NTTG) as long as there is coordination between the groups to achieve a meaningful next set of Ver. 1 guidelines. There was a lot of hardwork put by the members into this effort, perhaps some more than the others, and it would be dissapointing to see the document/process shelved.

2. Approval of Ver. 0 guidelines by the TPC member: As suggested in (1), I would strongly encourage TPC members to support the product developed by the TASC/TAWG members.

3. As suggested in (1), it would be important to continue this effort - the forum does not necessarily have to be through TASC. I would propose TPC to accept the Ver. 0 as the final product from the TASC and move to dissolve the TASC charter. The process can continue under the direct supervision of TPC or through other groups as mentioned above (ColumbiaGrid and NTTG).

No matter what the outcome is, I do want to thank you all for your support through this long process. Everyone brought a different

perspective, which made it more challenging and rewarding in the end. Even with differing view points it is good to see how well the region works together. Thank you for giving me a chance to work with you. It has been a pleasure.

Also, I appreciate NWPP's support, especially Dana Reedy, during this process.

Please call me if you have any further questions.

Thanks Again,

Sincere Regards,  
Ravi

Ravi Aggarwal  
Chair, TASC & TAWG  
Bonneville Power Administration  
Phone: (360) 619-6424

**CC:** Staff; TPC; TPC-A; TPC-CC