

DRAFT - Minutes of Teleconference – 10:00 – 11:00 AM

**Call-In Attendees:**

Ben Morris	Roger Grim
Vijay Bangalore	Hugh Nguyen
Bill Quaintance	Rebecca Berdah
Bill Shemley	Rich Bayless
Paul Gonzalez	Rod Lenfest
Dave Angell	Ben Williams
E John Tompkins	Roger D. (?)
Marv Landauer	Jeff Newby
Phil Park	Sherman Chen
Philip Augustin	Steve Wallace

**Purpose of Teleconference:**

- Preparation for March 11, 2008 meeting at NWPP Offices in Portland.
- Set agenda topics.

**Discussion:**

1) The status of the action items from last meeting was reviewed:

- a) Phil Augustin & Bill Hosie were tasked with picking a physical location for the proposed Boardman “Hub”.

**Status:** Phil Augustin reported that the proposed site for the “Hub” near the existing PGE Boardman power plant was still appropriate with plenty of right-of-way for new transmission lines, open land for the switchyard and an access road. There was no opinion expressed from those on the call as to whether or not there was a benefit to have the Boardman “Hub” as 2 distinct sites with smaller switchyards approximately 10 miles apart or one single larger switchyard located adjacent to the Boardman power plant.

- b) Phil Augustin was tasked with collecting project model from the project sponsors in Power World format.

**Status:** Phil sent out the modeling data in an Excel spreadsheet on 2-29-08. There was some discussion about the criticality of the data in terms of CEII. It was decided that instead of sending out the data to all individuals on the TCWG distribution list, any individual who wanted the data should request the data from Phil Augustin.

- c) Tom Tjoelker was tasked with putting together an interconnection matrix linking projects and other potential connections at Boardman “Hub”.

**Status:** Tom said that the configuration matrix will be ready for the meeting on 3-11-08. Jeff Newby sent out to the working group a set of single line drawings showing permutations for the Boardman “Hub”.

- d) Ben Morris with help from Jeff Miller at Columbia Grid was tasked with providing the working group with a spreadsheet showing the wind projects in the Pacific Northwest.

**Status:** Ben provided the spreadsheet to the working group on 2-26-08.

- e) Rich Bayless was tasked with providing a list of the WECC phase II projects to be included in the base cases.

**Status:** Rich Bayless provided the list of WECC phase II projects on 3-2-08.

- f) Scott Waples was tasked with providing the working group with power flow results for the proposed Avista Interconnection with and without phase shifters.

**Status:** No one from Avista called-in to the teleconference.

- g) Project sponsors were tasked with identifying generation dispatch for each of the four base cases.

**Status:** Tom Tjoelker reported that PacifiCorp has completed their dispatch scenarios and will provide this information to the group on 3-11-08. Phil Augustin reported that PGE will dispatch a proposed 750 MW wind project against their system load. Vijay Bangalore reported that PG&E have completed the 2015 HS dispatch and will have the 2017 HW dispatch scenario available at the meeting on 3-11-08.

- h) Project sponsors were tasked with identifying RAS’s for contingencies.

**Status:** Tom Tjoelker reported that PacifiCorp has not identified RAS’s for their contingencies. Vijay Bangalore reported that PG&E has identified RAS’s for their projects. It was pointed out that the TCWG members need to follow-up with BPA regarding RAS information for contingencies on the BPA system.

- i) Ben Morris was tasked with providing the TCWG member list to all participants.

**Status:** Ben Morris provided the list on 2-15-08.

## **DRAFT MEETING AGENDA**

**NWPP Offices**

**March 11, 2008**

**9:30 AM – 3:00 PM**

1. Project sponsors will provide a brief update on their individual projects including: work since the last meeting, any follow-up items from the action list tasks, base cases that are going to be used and next steps for their projects. (15 min. per project)
2. PG&E will lead a discussion on their proposed DC model for the Northern California Line, system impacts for contingencies on the DC bi-pole and fault duty around the DC terminal.
3. Phil Augustin will lead a discussion on the extent of voltage stability analysis that will be done for phase 1 for the individual projects.
4. A general discussion of the sensitivity treatment of the other projects such as Northern California Line, Avista Interconnection or Energy Gateway that are being planned while developing the phase 1 rating.